# The Paroxysmal Precipitation of the Desert: Flash Floods in the Southwestern US

# James A. Smith<sup>1</sup>,Mary Lynn Baeck<sup>1</sup>,Long Yang<sup>1</sup>,Julia Signell<sup>1</sup>, Efrat Morin<sup>2</sup>,David C. Goodrich<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton University, Engineering Quadrangle, Princeton, NJ 08540. <sup>2</sup>Institute of Earth Sciences, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel <sup>3</sup>USDS-ARS, Tucson, Arizona, USA

# Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5

6 7 8

9

10	• The 14 September 2015 Hildale Storm was a hailstorm that produced catastrophic
11	flooding in southern Utah.
12	• The climatology of monsoon thunderstorms that produce flash floods in the South-
13	western US exhibits large spatial heterogeneity.
14	• Record flood peaks in many Colorado Plateau watersheds over a wide range of basin
15	scales are produced by "small", monsoon thunderstorms that pass close to the basin
16	outlet.

Corresponding author: James A Smith, jsmith@princeton.edu

#### 17 Abstract

The 14 September 2015 Hildale, Utah storm resulted in 20 flash flood fatalities, mak-18 ing it the most deadly natural disaster in Utah history; it is the quintessential example 19 of the "paroxysmal precipitation of the desert". The measured peak discharge from Maxwell 20 Canyon at a drainage area of 5.3  $km^2$  was 266  $m^3 s^{-1}$ , a value that exceeds envelope curve 21 peaks for Utah. The 14 September 2015 flash flood reflects features common to other 22 major flash flood events in the region, as well as unique features. The flood was produced 23 by a hailstorm that was moving rapidly from southwest to northeast and intensified as 24 it interacted with complex terrain. Polarimetric radar observations show that the storm 25 exhibited striking temporal variability, with the Maxwell Canyon tributary of Short Creek 26 and a small portion of the East Fork Virgin River basin experiencing extreme precip-27 itation. Periods of extreme rainfall rates for the 14 September 2015 storm are charac-28 terized by  $K_{DP}$  signatures of extreme rainfall in polarimetric radar measurements. Sim-29 ilar  $K_{DP}$  signatures characterized multiple storms that have produced record and near-30 record flood peaks in Colorado Plateau watersheds. The climatology of monsoon thun-31 derstorms that produce flash floods exhibits striking spatial heterogeneities in storm oc-32 currence and motion. The hydroclimatology of flash flooding in arid/semi-arid water-33 sheds of the southwestern US exhibits relatively weak dependence on drainage basin area. 34 Large flood peaks over a broad range of basin scales can be produced by small thunder-35 storms like the 14 September 2015 Hildale Storm, which pass close to the basin outlet. 36

# 37 1 Introduction

"Sooner or later the cloudburst visits every tract, and when it comes the local drainageway discharges in a few hours more water than is yielded to it by the ordinary precipitation of many years... So far as may be judged from the size of the channels draining
small catchment basins, the rare, brief, paroxysmal precipitation of the desert is at least
equal while it lasts to the rainfall of the fertile plain." G. K. Gilbert [1890]

Gilbert used the term paroxysmal in its dictionary formulation, "marked by bursts 43 of destructive force or intense activity" (Merriam-Webster), to describe the storms that 44 shape the channels of southwestern US rivers. Gilbert's insights were grounded in ob-45 servations made during field investigations with the Surveys of the southwestern US (Powell 46 (1895)), especially those leading to his landmark studies of the Henry Mountains (Gilbert 47 (1877)) and Lake Bonneville (Gilbert (1890)). The broad objective of this study is mo-48 tivated by Gilbert's apt description of southwestern US storms: we look to character-49 ize the paroxysmal nature of precipitation for extreme flash-flood producing storms in 50 the southwestern US. 51

The 14 September 2015 cloudburst in southern Utah resulted in 20 flash flood fa-52 talities, making it the most deadly natural disaster in Utah history (Deseret News, 15 53 September 2015). It is the quintessential example of the storms Gilbert described. Of 54 the 20 fatalities, 13 occurred in Hildale, Utah and resulted from flooding in Short Creek. 55 The remaining 7 fatalities occurred 20 km to the north when hikers were trapped by flood-56 waters in a slot canyon in Zion National Park. The 20 fatalities were the product of a 57 single hailstorm. Polarimetric radar observations show that the storm exhibited strik-58 ing temporal variability, with the Maxwell Canyon tributary of Short Creek and a small 59 portion of the East Fork Virgin River basin experiencing extreme precipitation. Close 60 analyses of the 14 September 2015 storm are at the center of this study (Section 4); we 61 will compare structure, motion and evolution of the storm that produced catastrophic 62 flooding in Maxwell Canyon with properties of a large sample of flash flood producing 63 storms in southern Utah and northern Arizona (Section 3) and a smaller population of 64 storms producing record and near-record floods in Colorado Plateau drainage basins (Fig-65 ure 1). 66



Figure 1. Basin boundaries for the Kanab Creek, Paria River, Escalante River, Dirty Devil River and Virgin Rivers basins (EF denotes the East Fork of the Virgin and NF denotes North Fork of the Virgin). Outer basins are outlined in black; blue Boundaries are for gaged sub-basins. Short Creek, the setting of the 14 September 2015 flood, is a sub-basin of Fort Pearce Wash and is marked with a star. Location of the September 1974 Eldorado Canyon flood is also marked by a star. Locations of stream gaging stations are denoted by stars.

Peak discharge measurements made by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) for the 67 14 September 2015 flood in Short Creek at a drainage area of 58  $km^2$  and for the Maxwell Canyon tributary at a drainage area of 5.3  $km^2$  are both 266  $m^3 s^{-1}$  (personal commu-69 nication from Cory Angeroth on 27 June 2016). The 266  $m^3 s^{-1}$  flood peak for Maxwell 70 Canyon is on the envelope curve of flood peaks for the Colorado Plateau (Figure 2; see 71 Enzel et al. (1993); see also Crippen and Bue (1977), Thomas et al. (1994), Berwick (1962), 72 Thomas and Lindskov (1983) and Webb et al. (1988)). The dependence of flood peak 73 magnitudes on drainage area is relatively weak in southwestern US rivers, compared to 74 other regions of the US (Thomas and Lindskov (1983) and Thomas et al. (1994); see also 75 Etheredge et al. (2004)). Flood peak magnitudes are closely linked to storm scale; cloud-76 bursts, like the Hildale Storm, can produce record flood peaks over a wide range of drainage 77 areas, as will be shown in Sections 4 and 5. 78

The Short Creek flood occurred 41 years to the day after the event that produced, by far, the most extreme flood peak measurement in the region (Figure 2) - the Eldorado Canyon flood of 14 September 1974 produced a peak discharge of 2150  $m^3 s^{-1}$  at a drainage area of 50  $km^2$ . Maximum flood peak measurements for basin scales up to 10,000  $km^2$  do not exceed the Eldorado Canyon peak. "Intense rainfall, thunder and hail" accompanied the Eldorado Canyon flood (Glancy and Harmsen (1975)). The 14 Septem-



**Figure 2.** Record flood peaks in the southwestern US study region, with the 14 September 2015 peak from Maxwell Canyon shown as a red diamond. The green circles are record flood peaks from USGS stream gaging stations. Flood peaks denoted by black "x" are are from the "miscellaneous" record in Utah (Crippen and Bue (1977)). The flood peaks in blue are paleoflood peaks from Enzel et al. (1993). The 14 September 1974 Eldorado Canyon flood peak is shown as a red circle.

ber 1974 Eldorado Canyon flood and the 14 September 2015 Maxwell Canyon flood con trol the envelope curve of Utah; both were produced by hailstorms in complex terrain.

The storms that Gilbert referred to as cloudbursts typically occur during the North American Monsoon (NAM) season, which peaks during July and August and extends

American Monsoon (NAM) season, which peaks during July and August and extends into September (Adams and Comrie (1997), Maddox et al. (1980), Higgins et al. (1997),

Watson, Holle, and Lopez (1994), Osterkamp and Friedman (2000), Vivoni et al. (2006),

<sup>92</sup> Corbosiero et al. (2009), Goodrich et al. (1997), K. M. Wood and Ritchie (2013), Pascale

et al. (2017) and Bieda et al. (2009)). There is no formal meteorological definition of cloud-

<sup>94</sup> burst - in usage dating back at least to the early 19th century, the key ingredients are

extreme rainfall rates over short durations, typically with severe flooding as a consequence.

<sup>96</sup> Woolley (1946) summarizes the legacy of cloudburst storms as agents of flash flooding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Luong et al. (2017), Mazon et al. (2016), Maddox et al. (1995), Hu and Dominguez (2015),

in Utah (see also Leopold (1942), Leopold (1946), Hales (1975), Webb et al. (1988) and
 Hjalimarsom and Thomas (1992) for broader examination of cloudburst flooding in the

<sup>99</sup> southwestern US).

In the southwestern US it is difficult to know when and where cloudbursts occur, 100 even with modern observing capabilities. The study region includes some of the most 101 remote areas of the conterminous US and the density of rain gages and stream gages is 102 low. We rely heavily on polarimetric radar observations (see, for example, Kumjian and 103 Ryzhkov (2008), Romine et al. (2008), Kumjian (2013), Ryzhkov et al. (2013) and Cunha 104 et al. (2013)) to examine rainfall and storm properties. Specific differential phase shift 105  $(K_{DP})$  measurements (Kumjian (2013)) play an especially important role in detection 106 of exceptional rainfall rates. In many regions of the southwestern US, it is difficult to 107 obtain useful radar measurements due to blockage problems associated with mountain-108 ous terrain (Maddox et al. (2003)). In southern Utah, the National Weather Service (NWS) 109 avoided this problem by deployment of the Cedar City, Utah WSR-88D on the top of 110 Blow Hard Mountain at an elevation of 3.2 km MSL (V. T. Wood et al. (2003)). This 111 is fortuitous in allowing us to examine storm properties without the problems of terrain 112 blockage. The elevation of the radar, however, means that we can not see what is of most 113 interest, rainfall near the ground surface. The lowest beam of the Cedar City radar sam-114 ples the atmosphere above Short Creek at an elevation of approximately 3 km above ground 115 level. 116

Gilbert's observations on the "size of channels" in small southwestern US water-117 sheds presaged the "arroyo problem", which centers on observations that channels in Col-118 orado Plateau rivers incised and widened dramatically in the second half of the 19th cen-119 tury (see, for example, Graf (1983), Webb and Hereford (2001) and Harvey and Peder-120 son (2011)). Most arroyos began to fill by the middle of the 20th century (Leopold (1976)). 121 Explanations for the sequence of alterations to Colorado Plateau river channels center 122 on the climatology of extreme rainfall (Leopold (1976), Graf (1983) and Webb et al. (1988)). 123 Gilbert's observations point to a broader issue - channels in small southwestern US wa-124 tersheds can be larger and deeper than their counterparts in the "fertile plain". Both 125 the arroyo problem and the larger problems of drainage evolution in southwestern US 126 rivers require advances in understanding the nature of extreme rainfall from thunder-127 storm systems during the North American Monsoon (Adams and Comrie (1997), Higgins 128 et al. (1997), Morin et al. (2005), Watson, Holle, and Lopez (1994), Goodrich et al. (1997) 129 and Vivoni et al. (2006)). 130

The September 2015 Hildale, Utah storm was a severe thunderstorm which pro-131 duced hail and copious lightning. The most intense hailstorms have been discounted as 132 important flood agents. Cotton et al. (2010) note that "storms producing the largest hail-133 stones occur in strongly sheared environments; thus, in general, we should not expect 134 that the storm systems producing the largest hailstones are also heavy rain producing 135 storms." Doswell et al. (1996), Smith et al. (2001) and Rogash and Racy (2002) provided 136 a different perspective, noting that the most intense hailstorms, supercell thunderstorms, 137 are important flood hazards in the US (see also Hitchens and Brooks (2013), Nielsen et 138 al. (2015), Smith et al. (2018) and Nielsen and Schumacher (2018)). Extensive research 139 on hailstorms has provided a broad characterization of their structure and evolution (see, 140 141 for example, Kumjian et al. (2015) and Hubbert et al. (1998)). An issue that has not been resolved is how heavy rainfall is distributed within a hailstorm and how the struc-142 ture and evolution of extreme rainfall within a hailstorm is linked to storm dynamics and 143 microphysics (see, for example, Romine et al. (2008) and Kumjian et al. (2015)). The 144 occurrence of hail and extreme rainfall rates in close proximity is an important feature 145 of some of the most extreme floods in the US (Smith et al. (2018)). 146

We compare structure and evolution of the 14 September 2015 storm with the larger population of thunderstorms that produce flash floods in the region. Climatological analyses of storm properties are based on a catalog of flash flood days during the period from

1998 - 2016 in southern Utah and northern Arizona. Flash flood reports in the National 150 Center for Environmental Information (NCEI) Storm Events data set are used to select 151 the flash flood days. We examine storm structure and evolution through Lagrangian anal-152 yses of storm motion, size and convective intensity, based on storm tracking of 3-D re-153 flectivity fields using the TITAN algorithms (Dixon and Wiener (1993)). We use mea-154 sures of convective intensity derived from storm tracking algorithms, including maximum 155 reflectivity and echo top height in the tracked storm cell (Dixon and Wiener (1993), Tapia 156 et al. (1998) and Javier et al. (2007)). 157

158 The climatologies of flash floods and thunderstorms in the southwestern US are linked. Thunderstorm climatology for the region is examined through analyses of Cloud-to-Ground 159 (CG) lightning data from the National Lightning Detection Network (NLDN; see Reap 160 and MacGorman (1989), Watson, Holle, and López (1994), Petersen and Rutledge (1998), 161 Lang and Rutledge (2002) and Villarini and Smith (2013)). Lightning climatology pro-162 vides only a rough depiction of flash flood climatology in the southwestern US - virtu-163 ally all of the flash floods during the NAM season are from thunderstorms, but only a 164 small fraction of thunderstorms produce major flash floods. 165

The 14 September 2015 Hildale storm intensified rapidly as it approached the Short 166 Creek watershed and the Vermillion Cliffs, which form the southwestern boundary of the 167 watershed. Complex terrain plays a central role in determining the spatial and tempo-168 ral structure of rainfall in the study region. The links between mountainous terrain and 169 Lagrangian storm properties - including storm initiation, size, motion and convective in-170 tensity - are important elements of the climatology of thunderstorms and flash floods in 171 the Colorado Plateau. The interplay of spatial heterogeneity of storm evolution and drainage 172 network structure (Morin et al. (2006)) suggests that mountainous watersheds should 173 exhibit distinctive patterns of flood response that will be unique to the specific settings 174 in the landscape. "Hotspots" of extreme flood occurrence are one pattern that may emerge 175 for the Colorado Plateau; flood hotspots have been described in numerous settings with 176 complex terrain, including the Balcones Escarpment of Texas (Baker (1975) and Costa 177 (1987)), the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains (Jarrett and Costa (1988)), the Black 178 Hills of South Dakota (Harden et al. (2011)) and the Blue Mountains of eastern Oregon 179 (Smith et al. (2018)).180

Questions that motivate the study include the following: 1) What are the charac-181 teristic patterns of storm structure and evolution for extreme flood producing storms in 182 arid/semi-arid regions? 2) How does extreme flood response in arid/semi-arid watersheds 183 depend on temporal and spatial variability of rainfall rate? 3) What are the storm and 184 terrain features that control spatial heterogeneity of flood peaks? 4) What are the pre-185 cipitation mechanisms associated with extreme rainfall rates? 5) Are all basins in the 186 Colorado Plateau "unique" in their flood hazards? These questions are tied to an over-187 arching hypothesis that "small" intense thunderstorms, like the September 1974 Eldo-188 rado Canyon storm and the September 2015 Maxwell Canyon storm, are principal agents 189 of extreme flooding over a broad range of basin scales in the southwestern US. 190

# <sup>191</sup> 2 Data and Methods

The climatology of thunderstorms in the southern Utah - northern Arizona study region is examined through analyses of cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning observations from the NLDN (see Orville (2008) and Cummins and Murphy (2009)). Our analyses are based on observations during the period 1991 - 2016 and we restrict consideration to negative strikes with intensities less than -10 kA (see Cummins et al. (1998) and Villarini and Smith (2013)).

We use polarimetric radar fields from the Cedar City WSR-88D radar to examine storm structure and motion and to assess spatial and temporal variability of rainfall rate;

the polarimetric upgrade of the Cedar City radar occurred in 2012. We primarily exam-200 ine two polarimetric radar fields: horizontal reflectivity  $(Z_H)$  and specific differential phase 201 shift  $(K_{DP})$ ; an introduction to radar polarimetric measurements can be found in Kumjian 202 (2013). Horizontal reflectivity  $Z_H$  provides an aggregate characterization of number and 203 sizes of hydrometeors. Differential reflectivity  $Z_{DR}$  is the ratio between the horizontal 204 and vertical reflectivity and provides information on characteristic sizes of raindrops and 205 hydrometeor type. Differential phase shift  $\Phi_{DP}$  (in degrees) is the difference in phase 206 shift between the horizontal and vertically polarized waves. Specific differential phase 207  $K_{DP}$  (degrees  $km^{-1}$ ) is the range derivative of the differential phase shift along a radial 208 radar beam.  $K_{DP}$  is dependent on the size as well as number concentration of rain drops, 209 and provides a useful tool for detecting heavy rainfall (see Kumjian (2013) for discus-210 sion of microphysical processes affecting  $K_{DP}$  measurements). 211

We converted WSR-88D Archive Level-II fields from radial coordinates into 3-D 212 Cartesian grids using the RADX tools developed at the NCAR Research Applications 213 Lab. Specific differential phase  $(K_{DP})$  is computed in polar space. All the fields (includ-214 ing horizontal reflectivity  $Z_H$  and differential reflectivity  $Z_{DR}$ ) are gridded using a three 215 dimensional linear interpolation scheme. Reflectivity and differential reflectivity are con-216 verted from dB to linear, gridded and then back to dB. The spatial resolution of the grid-217 ded radar fields is 1 km. The time required for the radar to complete a full volume scan 218 of the atmosphere is typically 5-6 minutes. Volume scan observations for the 14 Septem-219 ber 2015 storm include multiple base scans providing a time resolution of 2-3 minutes 220 for low elevation measurements of polarimetric variables. 221

To examine storm structure, motion and size for flash flood producing storms we 222 223 performed storm tracking analyses of 3-D reflectivity fields derived from KICX volume scan reflectivity data. Flash flood reports from the NCEI Storm Events data base pro-224 vided the sample of storm events. Storm days consisted of all days (1200 UTC - 1200 225 UTC) with flash flood reports in the northern Arizona - southern Utah study region dur-226 ing the NAM period (July - September) for the years from 1998 - 2015. The NCEI flash 227 flood events data are based on observer reports; they are unlikely to capture all days with 228 flash flooding - especially minor flash flooding - but they provide an extensive sample 229 of flash flood events. We omitted days for which WSR-88D reflectivity observations were 230 not available, resulting in a total of 360 days. 231

Lagrangian analyses were based on the TITAN storm tracking algorithms (Dixon 232 and Wiener (1993); see also Tapia et al. (1998), Javier et al. (2007) and Yang et al. (2017) 233 for related analyses). A reflectivity threshold of 45 dBZ and volume threshold of 5  $km^3$ 234 were used to identify convective storm elements (see Dixon and Wiener (1993)). Vari-235 ables computed from tracking analyses include location of the storm centroid, echo top 236 height (45 dBZ), maximum reflectivity in the storm (dBZ), storm speed, storm direc-237 tion and storm area. Analyses focus on intense storm elements, which we take to be tracked 238 storm elements with echo tops greater than 8.5 km (above radar elevation); elevation of 239 the KICX radar is approximately 3 km MSL. We also restricted analyses to elevations 240 above 3 km MSL to account for radar elevation. 241

We use operational polarimetric rainfall fields developed by the NWS from the KICX 242 radar to examine rainfall rate variability over large watersheds. The digital polarimet-243 ric rainfall (DPR) fields are converted from polar coordinates to a regular 1 km grid us-244 ing the NOAA Weather and Climate Toolkit. The DPR algorithm uses specific differ-245 ential phase shift to estimate rainfall rate in hail and it uses reflectivity and differential 246 reflectivity to estimate rainfall rate when the hydrometeor classification is rain. Using 247 gridded DPR rainfall rate fields, we examine rainfall relative to the drainage network us-248 ing the rainfall-weighted flow distance to the basin outlet (see Smith et al. (2002) and 249 Smith et al. (2005)). Elevation of the radar beam limits the accuracy of rainfall rate fields, 250 but they provide useful tools for examining the effects of rainfall location, relative to the 251 basin outlet, on flood response. 252

We use USGS stream gaging records to examine flood peak distributions (see Ryberg 253 et al. (2017)). Measurements of many extreme floods are made by indirect discharge meth-254 ods, involving field measurements of peak water surface profiles and channel cross-sections, 255 combined with hydraulic computations (Costa and Jarrett (2008) and Koenig et al. (2016)). 256 Indirect measurements are made for floods at stream gaging sites when the gage is de-257 stroved or fails to operate properly. They are also made at miscellaneous sites, i.e. sites 258 that do not have stream gaging stations, typically for the most extreme floods. The 14 259 September 2015 peak discharge measurements in Short Creek are in the miscellaneous 260 site category. Peak discharge from indirect measurements have significant errors, espe-261 cially for the most extreme flood peaks (see Costa and Jarrett (2008) and House and Pearthree 262 (1995) for analyses of extreme flood measurements in the southwestern US). 263

Paleohydrologic reconstructions of flood peaks also play an important role in examining the upper tail of flood peaks in the southwestern US (as in Figure 2; see Webb et al. (1988), Enzel et al. (1993), Ely (1997) and Baker (2008)). They are an especially important resource for examining spatial heterogeneities of extreme floods over regions of complex terrain (see, for example, Martinez-Goytre et al. (1994), House and Baker (2001) and Harden et al. (2011)).

KINEROS2 is a physically based rainfall runoff model developed for watersheds 270 in semi-arid environments (Morin et al. (2006), Goodrich et al. (2011) and Schaffner et 271 al. (2016)). The model represents the watershed as a cascade of overland flow elements 272 (planes or curvilinear) and channels. In our KINEROS2 model formulation for Maxwell 273 Canyon at a drainage area of 5.3  $km^2$ , we have 120 overland flow planes and 50 chan-274 nel segments. The structure of the overland flow planes and channels conforms with field 275 inspection by the authors in November 2016. We used the Automated Geospatial As-276 sessment (AGWA) routines, developed by the USDA-ARS (Miller et al. (2007) and Goodrich 277 et al. (2012)) for implementing KINEROS2. Schaffner et al. (2016) demonstrated the util-278 ity of KINEROS2 for categorical flash flood forecasting in Short Creek using real-time 279 radar data. 280

# 3 Study Region and Thunderstorm Climatology

Thunderstorms are the dominant agent of flash flooding in much of the Colorado Plateau and the climatology of thunderstorms provides insights to the climatology of flash flooding. In this section we examine the thunderstorm climatology in the southwestern US study region through analyses of cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning observations from the NLDN (see Orville (2008) and Cummins and Murphy (2009)). We use storm tracking analyses of 3-D reflectivity fields for 360 flash flood days to examine the climatology of storm structure, motion and convective instensity.

There are striking spatial heterogeneities in thunderstorm frequency over the study 289 region and these features are strongly linked to terrain (Figure 3). The largest lightning 290 flash densities are located in high elevation plateau regions of the Colorado Plateau and 291 the mountains to the west in the Basin and Range province. The boundary between the 292 Colorado Plateau and Basin and Range provinces is roughly the low elevation region ex-293 tending northeast to southwest from the Escalante Desert through the Virgin River Val-294 ley to Lake Mead (Figure 3). The Basin and Range includes the northeast portion of the 295 Mojave Desert. Mean annual CG flash densities vary by more than a factor of 5 from 296 the high elevation regions of the Shivwits Plateau, Kaibab Plateau, Aquarius Plateau, 297 Kaiparowits Plateau, Paunsaugunt Plateau, Markagunt Plateau and Pine Valley Moun-298 tains to low elevation regions including Lake Mead, the Virgin River Valley, the Escalante 299 Desert and Glen Canyon (see Figure 3 for locations). Peak CG flash densities exceed 3 300 strikes  $km^{-2}$  over Boulder Mountain in the Aquarius Plateau (3450 meters MSL) and 301 over Mount Dellenbaugh at the southwest end of the Shivwits Plateau (2130 meters MSL). 302 Flash densities are less than 1.2 strikes  $km^{-2}$  in the Grand Canyon, just south of the 303

# 304 Shivwits Plateau. Mean annual CG flash densities are less than 0.6 CG strikes $km^{-2}$ over

<sup>305</sup> portions of Lake Mead, Glen Canyon and the Escalante Desert.



Figure 3. Mean CG flash density (strikes  $km^{-2}$ ) for southern Utah, northern Arizona and eastern Nevada. Geographic features are labeled. The red star shows the location of the Short Creek stream gaging station. The Las Vegas radiosonde location is denoted by a black circle.

The changes in elevation moving south to north from the Grand Canyon into the Markagunt, Paunsaugunt and Kaiparowitz Plateaus are conceptualized in terms of a sequence of discrete jumps in elevation, termed the Grand Staircase. The second step is the Vermillion Cliffs and it marks the boundary of the Short Creek drainage basin (Figure 1); terrain boundaries are locations of large gradients in rainfall and lightning for the 14 September 2015 storm.

Virtually all of the thunderstorms in the study region occur during the July - Septem-312 ber period that defines the North American Monsoon season (Figure 4). In the figure 313 we show boxplots of the area with daily CG flash density exceeding 1 CG strike  $km^{-2}$ 314 (top) and 2 CG strikes  $km^{-2}$  (bottom) for the period 1991 - 2006. The transition into 315 the monsoon season is abrupt (Figure 4); the median area with flash density greater than 316 1 CG strike  $km^{-2}$  is close to 0 for June. The frequency of major thunderstorm outbreaks 317 peaks during August, with a significant decline into September. Thunderstorm frequency 318 in October is also small, but October thunderstorm systems are linked to extreme flood 319 peaks in the study region, as will be shown in Section 5. 320

Individual thunderstorms that occur in the study region during the NAM season have spatial scales that are typically smaller than 50  $km^2$ , as detailed below. During thunderstorm days, the total area affected by these storms is often several orders of magnitude larger than the scale of the individual storms. The median area with flash density exceeding 1 CG strike  $km^{-2}$  is 1600  $km^2$  in July, 2100  $km^2$  in August and less than 200



**Figure 4.** Monthly boxplots of daily area with CG flash density exceeding  $1 \ km^{-2}$  (top) and  $2 \ km^{-2}$  (bottom). Month 1 is January.

 $km^2$  in September (Figure 4). The median area with CG flash density exceeding 2 CG strikes  $km^{-2}$  peaks at 480  $km^2$  in August.

The climatology of thunderstorms in the study region is coupled to the seasonal-328 ity of water vapor (Figure 5). Boxplots of CG flash density, stratified by values of pre-329 cipitable water at Page, Arizona (near Glen Canyon Dam), highlight the dependence of 330 thunderstorm occurrence on water vapor. The increase in thunderstorm frequency dur-331 ing the North American Monsoon season (Figure 4) is directly tied to the abrupt increase 332 in water vapor transport from the Pacific Ocean by way of the Gulf of California (Adams 333 and Comrie (1997)). Within the NAM season, the occurrence of thunderstorms is closely 334 linked to monsoon surge events that produce periods of peak precipitable water (see, for 335 example, Watson, Holle, and López (1994) and Higgins et al. (2004)). Strong synoptic 336 forcing is an important element of water vapor flux for numerous monsoon storms that 337 produce extreme rainfall and flooding (Yang et al. (2017)). 338

To examine storm structure, motion and size for flash flood producing storms we carried out storm tracking analyses of 3-D reflectivity fields derived from KICX volume



**Figure 5.** Daily flash density boxplots conditioned on precipitable water (in cm). Precipitable water bins cover 0.5 cm (the 3.0 cm bin, for example, includes days with precipitable water between 2.75 and 3.25 cm) Precipitable water measurements are from the Page, Arizona GPS (Global Positioning System) precipitable water station.

scan reflectivity data for 360 storm days. Lagrangian analyses are based on the TITAN storm tracking algorithms (Section 2). A reflectivity threshold of 45 dBZ and volume threshold of 5  $km^3$  were used to identify storm elements.

Storm motion on flash flood days is predominantly from southwest to northeast (Figure 6) for the three months of the North American Monsoon season. The wind roses in Figure 6 reflect motion for storm elements with echo top height greater than 8.5 km.

Storm motion from southwest to northeast is most tightly concentrated for storms with the largest speeds (Figure 6). The median storm speed for the NAM season is 12  $km h^{-1}$  and the 0.9 quantile storm speed is 32  $km h^{-1}$  (Figure 7).

There are rare storm elements, like those on 14 September 2015, with storm speeds greater than 50  $km h^{-1}$ . The 14 September 2015 Hildale storm was similar to flash flood producing storms in the region in terms of storm direction, but on the upper bound of storm speed. Storm motion from southwest to northeast reflects steering winds that are associated with transport from the source of moisture - the Pacific Ocean to the southwest of the region.

The climatology of storm motion shows a pronounced seasonal transition from July through September. The predominant direction of motion in July is from the southwest,



**Figure 6.** Windrose of storm motion and speed for flash-flood producing storms, 1998 - 2015 (tracked storm elements with 45 dBZ tops greater than 8.5 km); all storms (upper left), July (upper right), August (lower left) and September (lower right)

but there is a population of storms that depart from the norm, including storms with relatively small speeds covering all directions of motion. August is a transition month, including storms deviating from southwest flow (like July) and a population of high storm speed events (like September). During September, when short-wave troughs moving from the northwest extend into the southwestern US, the distribution of storm motion is more tightly concentrated in the southwest to northeast sector.

Superimposed on the general southwest to northeast motion of storms for the region is geographic variability in mean storm motion for flash flood days (Figures 8 and 9). Mean motion vectors were computed from tracked storm elements with echo top height greater than 8.5 km. There are minima in storm speed over mountainous terrain and maxima over low elevation regions. Average storm speeds have a local maximum upwind of Short Creek and local minima in the headwater high-elevation regions of the North Fork and East Fork Virgin Rivers. The Glen Canyon region has an elongated zone of mean storm speeds greater than 27  $km h^{-1}$  (Figure 9). There are large contrasts in mean storm speed for the headwater portions of the Escalante and Paria River basins, relative to downstream portions of the drainage basins (Figure 9); these storm properties are linked to scale-dependent flood response and spatial heterogeneities of extreme floods (as detailed in Section 5).

The median value of storm area for storm elements with echo top height greater 376 than 8.5 km is 18  $km^2$  (Figure 7). The 0.9 quantile of storm area is 50  $km^2$ . There is 377 only modest variation in storm area distribution over the NAM season, in contrast to 378 storm motion. Storm scale is one of the principal determinants of scale-dependent flood 379 response in the study region, as discussed in detail below. Upscale growth of storms as 380 they move away from peak elevations is modest compared with major flood-producing 381 storms along the Front Range of the Rockies (see Javier et al. (2007) for additional dis-382 cussion). Organization of convection into long lines is rare, but can play a role in extreme 383 flooding (as discussed in Section 5). 384

Like storm size, the distribution of maximum reflectivity values shows relatively little seasonal and geographic contrast. The median value of maximum reflectivity for storm elements with echo top height of 8.5 km is 55 dBZ (Figure 7), a value that typically indicates the presence of some hail. The 0.9 quantile of maximum reflectivity for flash flood days is 62 dBZ. Fewer than 1 in 100 storm elements on flash flood days have maximum reflectivity values that reach 70 dBZ - the 14 September 2015 Hildale storm is one.

# <sup>392</sup> 4 The 14 September 2015 Storms and Floods

The Short Creek watershed (Figure 10) is located in one of the most remote ar-303 eas in the conterminous US. The lower watershed of Short Creek includes the paired com-394 munities of Hildale, Utah and Colorado City, Arizona, which are divided by the Utah 395 - Arizona boundary. Two thunderstorms passed over Short Creek on 14 September 2015 396 (Figure 11). For the first storm, which took a more easterly path, rainfall in Short Creek 397 began around 2005 UTC (2:05 PM, Mountain Daily Time [MDT]) and ended by 2030 398 UTC. Rainfall in Maxwell Canyon for the second storm began at approximately 2215 399 UTC - the duration of rainfall over Maxwell Canyon was likely more than 10 minutes 400 but less than 20. This second storm was the principal agent of catastrophic flooding in 401 Maxwell Canyon and downstream in Short Creek. We will refer to this storm as the Hildale 402 Storm, covering its entire life cycle from approximately 2130 UTC to 2345 UTC. The 403 chronology of catastrophic flooding in Short Creek is closely tied to the structure and 404 evolution of the Hildale Storm during the 20-minute time window from 2210 - 2230 UTC 405 (Figure 12). 406

The first storm produced a significant flash flood in Short Creek and multiple cars 407 waited at a low-water crossing of Short Creek until the peak receded (the storm track 408 is denoted "Storm 1" in Figure 11). The second peak came down the Maxwell Canyon 409 tributary and washed the waiting cars into Short Creek, resulting in 13 of the fatalities 410 from the storm. Shortly after the second peak in Short Creek swept through Hildale and 411 Colorado City, 7 hikers were killed in Keyhole Canyon (see Figures 10 and 11), a pop-412 ular canyoneering site in Zion National Park, 20 km north of Hildale. The upstream drainage 413 area of Keyhole Canyon is approximately 1  $km^2$ . The Hildale storm was responsible for 414 the Short Creek and Zion fatalities, along with a record flood peak in the East Fork Vir-415 gin River at a drainage area of 890  $km^2$ . 416

417 Stage measurements on Short Creek made by the Mojave County Flood Control 418 District in Colorado City, Arizona exhibited a sharp rise beginning at 2100 UTC in re-419 sponse to the first storm, with a peak stage of 1.11 m (3.64 feet) at 2134 UTC (see Fig-

ure 10 for location). Stage decreased below 0.3 m (1 foot) at 2245 UTC, which marks 420 the beginning of contributions from the second storm. Over the next 15 minutes, stage 421 increased rapidly to the flood peak of 2.03 m (6.67 feet) at 2300 UTC. The stream gage 422 was disabled at 2317 UTC, with stage having decreased to 1.24 m (4.08 feet). A rain gage, 423 which is colocated with the Short Creek stream gaging station, reported the first mea-424 sured rainfall for the second storm at 2218 UTC. For the 7-minute period from 2218 UTC 425 until 2225 UTC, 12 mm were recorded for a 7-minute rainfall rate exceeding 100 mm  $h^{-1}$ . 426 An additional 2 mm of rain were recorded over the next 4 minutes. The Short Creek sta-427 tion is at relatively low elevation, 1492 meters MSL, compared to the headwaters of Maxwell 428 Canyon, which have peak elevations exceeding 2000 meters MSL. Much more intense rain-429 fall occurred to the north and west of the Short Creek stream gaging station over the 430 Maxwell Canyon tributary. 431

The Hildale Storm was a monsoon thunderstorm in an environment with strong 432 synoptic forcing. An upper level trough off the coast of California promoted exception-433 ally strong water vapor transport into the southwestern US, with rapid increase in pre-434 cipitable water preceding initiation of the Hildalle Storm. For the Page, Arizona GPS 435 station, observed precipitable water increased from 8 mm at 0000 UTC on September 436 13 to 20 mm at 0000 UTC on September 14, followed by a sharp increase to 30 mm by 437 0600 UTC. Precipitable water from the Las Vegas, Nevada sounding at 1200 UTC on 438 September 14 was 31.8 mm, an increase of 5.8 mm from the 0000 UTC sounding (see Figure 3 for location of the Las Vegas sounding). The Las Vegas precipitable water peak 440 of 31.8 mm has been exceeded on fewer than 20 days in September since 1948. 441

Extreme rainfall over Maxwell Canvon was associated with a storm that exhibited 442 443 peaks in convective intensity for the storm, for the day and generally for the collection of flash flood producing storms in the region (Figure 13; compare with results in the pre-444 vious section). The Hildale Storm initiated southwest of Maxwell Canyon at approxi-445 mately 2130 UTC (Figure 11) and intensified rapidly after 2145 UTC, with maximum 446 reflectivity values reaching 65 dBZ by 2152 UTC (Figure 13 top). Convective intensity 447 of the storm increased during the 10 minutes leading up to initiation of heavy rainfall 448 over Maxwell Canyon at approximately 2215 UTC. Maximum reflectivity of the storm 449 remained above 65 dBZ from 2217 UTC until 2310 UTC, with peak values exceeding 70 450 dBZ. The peak echo top height, i.e. the highest elevation with a reflectivity greater than 451 45 dBZ, of 11.5 km occurred around 2225 UTC. The rapid increase in convective inten-452 sity around 2217 UTC occurred as the storm approached Maxwell Canyon. 453

The area of peak lightning flash density was associated with passage of storms over the Vermiliion Cliffs, which mark the western boundary of Maxwell Canyon (Figure 14). The lightning map shows that the sharp terrain gradient at the Vermillion Cliffs was an area of peak convective intensity for the Hildale storm. The storm total lightning field reflects the contributions from the two storms described above (tracks are shown in Figure 11). The lightning flash density for the day over Maxwell Canyon was more that twice the mean annual value (Figure 3).

The Hildale Storm was exceptional for its rapid motion, in contrast to many flash-461 flood producing storms (Doswell et al. (1996) and Schumacher (2009)). During the 20 462 minute period centered on heavy rainfall over Maxwell Canyon, storm speed exceeded 463 50 km  $h^{-1}$ , with a peak speed of 62 km  $h^{-1}$  at 2243 UTC (Figure 13 bottom). Storm 464 speed for the Hildale Storm was large in comparison with other tracked storm elements 465 on 14 September 2015; the median storm speed for tracked storm elements with echo top 466 height exceeding 8.5 km was 30 km  $h^{-1}$  with only 10% of storm elements having storm 467 speeds exceeding 45  $km h^{-1}$ . Like convective intensity, storm speed for the Hildale storm 468 was also extreme relative to the sample of flash flood producing storms in the region dur-469 ing the period from 1998 - 2016 (as detailed in Section 3). 470

During the critical period of extreme rainfall from 2210 to 2230 UTC, storm area 471 ranged from 50 to 60  $km^2$  (Figure 13 middle). Storm area was anomalously large for the 472 storm, for the day and for the population of flash flood producing storm elements (Fig-473 ure 7). Flood peak measurements for Maxwell Canyon and Short Creek suggest that the 474 most extreme rainfall was concentrated in Maxwell Canyon, which has a drainage area 475 that is smaller than the storm size. Characterizing the extreme nature of rainfall from 476 the Hildale storm centers on determining where and when extreme rainfall occurred within 477 the Hildale Storm, as depicted in Figure 12. 478

479 The Hildale storm exhibited cyclonic rotation in radar polarimetric fields and in Doppler velocity fields. Dynamical processes associated with rotational motion in mesovor-480 tices and supercells can contribute to extreme rainfall rates, as detailed in (Nielsen & 481 Schumacher, 2018) and (Weijenborg et al., 2017). During the period of heavy rainfall 482 over Maxwell Canyon (Figure 12) the hail core was located in the northwest portion of 483 the storm, with a line of elevated reflectivity extending to the east of the hail core and 484 a line of elevated reflectivity extending south of the hail core. The line extending south 485 of the hail core moved cyclonically from southwest of the hail core to southeast of the 486 hail core over the 15 minute period. The cyclonically rotating storm structure around 487 the hail core is also illustrated in the the Doppler velocity fields from 2214 to 2227 UTC 488 (Figure 12; middle column). Doppler velocity observations show that the hail core was 489 located at the nose of a low-level inflow jet, i.e. a low level maximum in wind speed. 490

Motion of the Hildale storm was slightly to the left of the steering level winds from 491 2100 to 2230. In Figure 15, we show vertical wind profiles derived from Cedar City WSR-492 88D Doppler Velocity measurements using the Velocity Azimuth Display (VAD) algo-493 rithm. Wind speed ranged from 35 to 50 km  $h^{-1}$  (10 - 14 m  $s^{-1}$ ) and wind direction 494 was near constant at 225 degrees (south - southwesterly wind). Motion for the Hildale 495 storm was more northerly than the larger population of storms on 14 September (Fig-496 ure 15). Dynamical effects associated with storm rotation and flow channeling in the Short 497 Creek canyon may have contributed to storm motion. There was little change in steer-498 ing winds from the time of the first storm to the second - contrast in motion was prin-499 cipally tied to dynamical controls of storm evolution. 500

The evolution of extreme rainfall from the Hildale Storm is best reflected in  $K_{DP}$ 501 fields (Figure 12; right column), which suggest that the line of elevated reflectivity ex-502 tending north to south of the hail core and along the western margin of the storm was 503 the "source" of extreme rainfall over Maxwell Canyon. Elevated  $K_{DP}$  values at approx-504 imately 3 km AGL increased rapidly from 2206 to 2214 UTC, with a north-to-south ori-505 ented arc of values reaching 3 degrees  $km^{-1}$ . The line of elevated  $K_{DP}$  was located up-506 wind of Maxwell Canyon, with the timing and orientation of the line consistent with ex-507 treme rainfall rates over the watershed during the period from 2015 to 2030 UTC (based 508 on storm speed and elevation of the radar beam). Melting hail and liquid water shed from 509 hail are likely sources of extreme rainfall over Maxwell Canyon (Romine et al. (2008)). 510 Strong downdrafts associated with negative buoyancy from precipitation drag and evap-511 oration of rain and melting of hail likely contributed to extreme rainfall rates over Maxwell 512 Canvon. 513

The period of extreme rainfall indicated by elevated  $K_{DP}$  values was short-lived, 514 forming shortly after 2200 UTC and diminishing after 2218 UTC (Figure 12). Although 515 the  $K_{DP}$  signature of extreme rainfall decayed rapidly after the storm passed Maxwell 516 Canyon, it redeveloped as the storm approached the East Fork Virgin River and Key-517 hole Canyon (Figure 16). Like flash flooding in Maxwell Canyon, extreme rainfall over 518 Keyhole Canyon and the East Fork Virgin River was linked to a small region of elevated 519  $K_{DP}$  in close proximity to the hail core of the Hildale Storm.  $K_{DP}$  fields point to the 520 paroxysmal nature of the Hildale Storm. Multiple pulses of extreme rainfall rates evolved 521 over a period less than 60 minutes in duration; pulses of extreme rainfall rates had life 522

cycles of 10 - 20 minutes and exhibited rapidly varying spatial distributions of extreme rainfall rates.

The 266  $m^3 s^{-1}$  peak discharge measurement in the 5.3  $km^2$  Maxwell Canyon watershed provides evidence of the extreme rainfall rates from the Hildale Storm; a rough comparison with rainfall rate is through the 181  $mm h^{-1}$  representation of peak discharge that is obtained by dividing 266  $m^3 s^{-1}$  by 5.3  $km^2$  and converting units to  $mm h^{-1}$ . The 181  $mm h^{-1}$  peak discharge reflects an idealized steady state flow through the watershed.

What are the rainfall rates over a 10 - 20 minute period that are required to pro-531 duce a peak discharge of 266  $m^3 s^{-1}$  over the 5.3  $km^2$  watershed? We examine this ques-532 tion using simulations of flood response with the KINEROS2 hydrologic model. We im-533 plemented the model for Maxwell Canvon with parameters derived from GIS data lay-534 ers using the AGWA algorithms (see Morin et al. (2006) and Goodrich et al. (2011) and 535 Section 2 for additional details). We use a digital elevation model with 10-m resolution, 536 land use map from National Land Cover Dataset with a spatial resolution of 30 m and 537 soil attributes from the SSURGO dataset. The Manning roughness coefficient for chan-538 nels is 0.035. Field inspection of the watershed in November 2016 indicated that virtu-539 ally the entire upper watershed of Maxwell Canyon had erosive runoff from the storm. 540 We do not attempt to distinguish spatially varying rainfall over the watershed (given the 541 elevation of the beam and rapid storm motion, polarimetric radar measurements pro-542 vide little guidance on the spatial distribution of rain over the 5  $km^2$  watershed). Field 543 observations were also used to partition the watershed into channel and plane overland 544 flow elements. We assumed that the channel of Maxwell Canvon was fully wetted (by 545 the first storm) when rainfall initiated around 2215 UTC. 546

Assuming a wet watershed and channel, the constant rainfall rate over a 15 minute period needed to produce a peak discharge of 266  $m^3 s^{-1}$  in Maxwell Canyon is 215  $mm h^{-1}$ , a rainfall rate larger than the 1000-year, 15 minute rainfall rate for Short Creek (203  $mm h^{-1}$ ; NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 1, Version 5). For 10 minute time interval, the constant rainfall rate increases to 280  $mm h^{-1}$ . For 20 minute time interval, the rainfall rate decreases to 190  $mm h^{-1}$ .

Rainfall rates inferred from hydrologic model analyses are larger than the 100 mm  $h^{-1}$ 553 rain rates at 7-minute time scale measured at the downstream Short Creek stream gag-554 ing station (a rain gage is colocated at the station). As noted above, radar, lightning and 555 peak discharge measurements all point to rainfall rates in Maxwell Canyon that were markedly 556 larger than those at the low-elevation gaging station. The peak discharge measurement 557 of 266  $m^3 s^{-1}$ , combined with hydrologic modeling analyses points to rainfall rates over 558 Maxwell Canyon exceeding 200  $mm h^{-1}$  at time scales less than 15 minutes. A key assumption in assessing rainfall rates through these analyses is the accuracy of indirect dis-560 charge measurements of flood peak magnitudes; as noted in the introduction measure-561 ment error is a major issue for the most extreme floods (see House and Pearthree (1995) 562 for a particularly insightful examination of extreme flood peak measurements in the southwestern US). 564

Hydrologic modeling analyses for Maxwell Canyon provide general guidance on rain-565 fall rates associated with peak discharge values around 270  $m^3 s^{-1}$  for 5  $km^2$  watersheds. 566 Flood peaks from small drainage areas can result in record flood peaks over much larger 567 downstream watersheds. The Hildale storm was the principal agent for the flood of record 568 in the East Fork of the Virgin River at a drainage area of 890  $km^2$  (Figure 17) from a 569 26-year record. The rainfall-weighted flow distance (Smith et al. (2002)) to the basin out-570 let for the East Fork Virgin River decreased to a value close to 8 km at 2240 UTC as 571 the Hildale storm passed through the lower portion of the drainage basin, consistent with 572 the rapid rise to the peak discharge of 98  $m^3 s^{-1}$  at 0015 UTC on September 15 (Fig-573 ure 17). 574

The rainfall rate field at 2245 UTC (Figure 17) shows a storm with large rainfall rates close to the basin outlet. Elevation of the radar beam and storm speed dictate that the rainfall distribution at 2245 UTC was shifted somewhat from the location shown in Figure 17, but the conclusion that extreme rainfall was concentrated close to the outlet clearly holds. The record flood in the East Fork Virgin River at a drainage area of  $890 \ km^2$  was largely the product of a storm that was smaller than  $60 \ km^2$  and passed through the watershed in less than 20 minutes.

# 582 5 Extreme Floods in the Colorado Plateau

In this section we examine the hydroclimatology of extreme floods in the Colorado 583 Plateau through analyses of extreme rainfall and flooding in Fort Pearce Wash, Kanab 584 Creek, the Virgin River, the Paria River, the Dirty Devil River and the Escalante River 585 (Figure 1). Our focus is on the upper tail of flood peaks, including floods ranging from 586 10-year return interval to record floods. We examine scale-dependent flood response from 587 the perspective of both basin size and storm size; motion of storms relative to the basin 588 outlet is a key element of flood analyses. We also assess how geographic variability in 589 storm occurrence, motion and intensity contribute to spatial heterogeneity of flood peaks. 590 The seasonally varying structure of extreme rainfall and flooding is a third central topic 591 of this section; we will focus on a spectrum of storm types stratified by convective in-592 tensity and synoptic forcing. 593

Peak magnitudes for the 14 September 2015 flood decreased rapidly downstream 594 of Short Creek, as is common for influent ephemeral watersheds (Goodrich et al. (1997) 595 and Goodrich et al. (2004)); the peak in Fort Pearce Wash of 40  $m^3 s^{-1}$  (black line in Figure 18) at a drainage area of  $3400 \ km^2$  was 15% of the the upstream peaks in Maxwell 597 Canyon at 5  $km^2$  and Short Creek at 50  $km^2$  scale (see Figure 1 for watershed location). 598 The decrease in discharge from Short Creek to the Fort Pearce Wash gaging station re-599 sulted from flood peak attenuation and channel infiltration losses; the time of travel for 600 the flood wave from Short Creek to the Fort Pearce Wash gaging station, approximately 601 11 hours, provides ample time for both. 602

The largest flood peaks in the 22-year record of Fort Pearce Wash occurred on 15 603 August 2003 and 16 July 2012 (Figure 18). Both had magnitudes that were close to the 604  $266 \ m^3 \ s^{-1}$  maximum discharge values from the 14 September 2015 storm in Maxwell 605 Canyon and Short Creek from the Hildale Storm. Response times in Fort Pearce Wash 606 for the August 2003 and July 2012 storms were comparable to the September 2015 flood 607 response in Short Creek, despite the fact that the watershed is almost 2 orders of mag-608 nitude larger. The hydrograph for the July 2012 flood illustrates the common usage of "flash" as a verb for Colorado Plateau rivers. From a dry channel, discharge increased 610 to the 270  $m^3 s^{-1}$  peak in 40 minutes, with a similarly rapid falling limb of the hydro-611 graph (Figure 18). The peak discharge and time to peak are similar for the August 2003 612 flood. 613

Fort Pearce Wash flashed on 16 July 2012 in response to extreme rainfall rates from 614 a severe thunderstorm that tracked through the region from 2200 to 2400 UTC (Figures 615 18 and 19). The rainfall-weighted flow distance to the basin outlet of Fort Pearce Wash 616 was approximately 12 km at 2245 UTC (the time for which the rainfall rate field is shown 617 in Figure 18). Like the record flood for the East Fork Virgin River on 14 September 2015, 618 the rapid rise and fall of the Fort Pearce Wash hydrograph for the 16 July 2012 storm 619 was produced by extreme rainfall rates during a short period from a storm near the basin 620 outlet (Figure 18). For record floods in Fort Pearce Wash, the size of storms producing 621 extreme rainfall rates is the important spatial scale, not the drainage area of the water-622 shed. Extreme flood magnitudes of southwestern US watersheds over a wide range of basin 623 scales is dependent on hydrologic response to small areas of intense rainfall and chan-624 nel infiltration losses in downstream channel segments. 625

Storm properties for the July 2012 flood in Fort Pearce Wash reprise themes that 626 emerge from analyses of the 14 September 2015 flood in its headwaters. Like the Hildale 627 storm, the 16 July 2012 storm was large relative to flash flood producing storms in the 628 study region, with 45 dBZ storm area exceeding 60  $km^2$ . Also like the Hildale storm, the 629 storm was rapidly moving, with storm speeds between 30 and 40 km  $h^{-1}$ , and motion 630 was from southwest to northeast (Figure 18; compare also with the climatological anal-631 yses in Figures 7 and 8).  $K_{DP}$  signatures of extreme rainfall rates for the September 2015 632 Hildale Storm were also prominent features of the 16 July 2012 Fort Peace Wash storm 633 (Figure 19); they were concentrated during a period of 15-20 minutes beginning at ap-634 proximately 2240 UTC (Figure 19). The storm exhibited rotational signatures of a su-635 percell thunderstorm, based on Doppler velocity observations and the NWS mesocyclone 636 detection algorithm. Dynamical processes associated with storm rotation likely contributed 637 to the extreme rainfall rates during the period from 2240 - 2300 UTC (see Nielsen and 638 Schumacher (2018)). Like the Hildale Storm, the 16 July 2012 storm was an end-member 639 on the convective intensity spectrum of flash flood producing storms and exhibited rapidly 640 varying pulses of extreme rainfall rates. 641

The geography of extreme flooding in Colorado Plateau watersheds is tied to spa-642 tially varying properties of storm occurrence and motion; analyses of flash flood produc-643 ing storms in Section 3 provide a climatological setting for interpreting spatial hetero-644 geneity of extreme floods. Terrain features make some watersheds, including Short Creek 645 and the larger Fort Pearce Wash watershed, prone to extreme flooding from storms that 646 exhibit the dominant southwest to northeast motion. Mean storm motion for flash flood 647 producing storms in high elevation regions of the Colorado Plateau differ markedly from 648 storms in low elevation regions (Figures 6, 8 and 9). Record and near-record floods in 649 the Escalante River on 24 August 1998 (Figures 20), the upper Paria River on 19 Au-650 gust 2012 (Figures 21 and 22) and North Fork Virgin River on 12 July 2018 (Figure 23) 651 were produced by thunderstorms that initiated along high elevation, headwater portions 652 of the watershed and exhibited storm motion that differed markedly from the southwest-653 to-northeast pattern of the 14 September 2015 Hildale storm and 16 July 2012 Fort Pearce 654 Wash storm. 655

The largest flood peak in the 62-year USGS stream gaging record of the Escalante 656 River at 823  $km^2$  scale is the 130  $m^3 s^{-1}$  peak from the 24 August 1998 storm (Figure 657 20). The storm producing the 1998 peak was a severe thunderstorm that formed along 658 the drainage divide between the Escalante and Paria River basins. Over its life cycle the 659 storm moved slowly to the east, away from the drainage divide. Small net storm motion 660 resulted in heavy rainfall, intense lightning, flooding and landslides in the upper Escalante 661 River basin (Figure 20 and NCEI Storm Events database). The most intense rainfall was concentrated in a small portion of the watershed and it was not close to the basin out-663 let; if the storm centroid had been 20 km further east, the flood peak at the USGS stream 664 gaging station would likely have been much larger than 130  $m^3 s^{-1}$ . Or viewed from the 665 perspective of the storm, peak discharge values in the drainage network close to the most 666 extreme rainfall were likely much larger than 130  $m^3 s^{-1}$ . 667

Thunderstorms on 19 August 2012 (Figure 21) produced a flood peak of 214  $m^3 s^{-1}$ 668 at the upper Paria River stream gage near Kanab, Utah at a drainage area of 1680  $km^2$ . 669 670 The August 2012 storm formed at high elevation close to the drainage divide and moved from northwest to southeast. There are large spatial gradients in mean motion of flash 671 flood producing storms over the Paria watershed; motion of the 19 August 2012 storm 672 was in line with mean motion in the headwaters of the Paria (Figure 9). The upper Paria 673 flashed in response to the 19 August 2012 storm with discharge increasing from near 0 674 to 214  $m^3 s^{-1}$  in 45 minutes. The August 2012 storm was a multicell storm, with an elon-675 gated region of elevated  $K_{DP}$  at 2046 UTC (Figure 21). Storm motion down the water-676 shed contributed to the extreme nature of the flood peak (see Morin et al. (2006)). 677

The downstream peak for the lower Paria River at Lees Ferry, Arizona for the 19 678 August 2012 flood (see Figure 21 for location) was less than a third of the upstream peak 679 near Kanab, again reflecting the prominent role of channel losses and flood peak atten-680 uation in Colorado Plateau rivers and southwest ephemeral streams in general (see Hereford 681 (1986) and Goodrich et al. (2018)). The distributions of flood peaks at the two Paria 682 gaging stations for the period of overlapping records (1959 - 1973 and 2003 - 2015) are 683 strikingly similar (Figure 22), despite the large difference in drainage area, 1680  $km^2$  ver-684 sus 3680  $km^2$ , highlighting the weak dependence of flood peak magnitudes on drainage 685 area. Spatial heterogeneity of extreme flooding in the Paria watershed is linked to the 686 climatology of storm size and motion. Average storm speeds are small in the upper Paria 687 watershed: in the lower watershed mean storm speeds are large with a pronounced south-688 west to northeast orientation. The spatial contrasts in structure and motion of monsoon 689 thunderstorms combine with open channel flow processes to determine flood peak prop-690 erties over the Paria River basin, and more generally, over the Colorado Plateau. 691

Major flooding in the North Fork Virgin River on 12 July 2018 resulted from a thun-692 derstorm that initiated over high elevations and moved slowly to the south (Figure 23). 693 Discharge at the USGS stream gaging station, which has a drainage area of 891  $km^2$ , 694 rose from near 0 to the peak discharge of 153  $m^3 s^{-1}$  in 30 minutes (Figure 24). The most 695 extreme flooding, as reflected in locations of landslides, was concentrated in a small por-696 tion of the North Fork Virgin drainage basin and is contained within the area of maximum  $K_{DP}$  at 0200 UTC in Figure 23. Like the 14 September 2015 storm, the July 2018 698 storm exhibited rapidly varying  $K_{DP}$  signatures of extreme rainfall rates, with locations 699 of peaks in  $K_{DP}$  paired with locations of hillslope damage and flooding. A 2-hour ac-700 cumulation of 62 mm from a rain gage located west of the storm track at 0215 UTC (de-701 noted by a red star in Figure 23) has a return interval that is longer than 200 years; more 702 extreme rainfall accumulations likely occurred along the track of the storm. 703

The record flood peak for the 92 years of observations from the North Fork Vir-704 gin River is 259  $m^3 s^{-1}$  and occurred on 7 December 1966 (Butler and Munforff (1970)). 705 The peak discharge of 646  $m^3 s^{-1}$  at the downstream Virgin River station, which has 706 a drainage area of 2480  $km^2$ , is 70% larger than the second largest flood peak in a stream 707 gaging record of more than 100 years. It is one of the largest flood peaks in the system-708 atic USGS stream gaging record from the Colorado Plateau (Figure 2). Rainfall totals 709 for the December 1966 storm were unprecedented. The 24-hour accumulation of 112 mm 710 at Orderville, Utah on December 6 (Butler and Munforff (1970)) has a return interval 711 of approximately 500 years (NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 1, Version 5). The 3-day total rain-712 fall at Orderville was 184 mm. Not surprisingly, there are sharp contrasts in the timing 713 of flood response between the December 1996 flood and the July 2018 flood (Figure 24). 714

Winter storms are important flood agents for large watersheds in the Colorado Plateau. 715 both in the current climate and during the past several millennia (House and Hirschboeck 716 (1993), Ely et al. (1994) and Ely (1997)). The December 1966 flood peaks were produced 717 by a powerful extratropical cyclone affecting the region from 5 - 7 December 1966 (Butler 718 and Munforff (1970)). A major difference between the December 1966 storm and the July 719 2018 storm is the spatial extent of extreme rainfall: the December 1966 storm produced 720 heavy rainfall over the entire Virgin River basin; the July 2018 storm produced extreme 721 722 rainfall over a small portion of the North Fork Virgin River basin.

The differences between fall/winter storms and monsoon thunderstorms are not, 723 however, as sharp as they may seem. Radar observations (see Plate 2 of Butler and Mun-724 forff (1970)) suggest that embedded convection may have contributed peak rainfall rates 725 over the Virgin River basin for the December 1966 storm. The flood peak of 259  $m^3 s^{-1}$ 726 on the North Fork Virgin River followed a rapid rise produced by an organized region 727 of heavy rainfall (Figure 24 and Plate 2 of Butler and Munforff (1970)). The downstream 728 rise to the 646  $m^3 s^{-1}$  peak in the Virgin River was even sharper. The seasonally vary-729 ing properties of monsoon thunderstorms from July through September are tied to the 730

increasing frequency of synoptic scale disturbances in September. Extreme rainfall from
synoptic disturbances that produce floods through the fall and winter seasons can exhibit convective features that are important elements of extreme rainfall from monsoon
thunderstorms. Extreme flooding in the Colorado Plateau arises from a spectrum of storms
from the perspective of synoptic forcing and convective intensity.

The largest flood peak among the Colorado Plateau USGS stream gaging stations 736 is the 1014  $m^3 s^{-1}$  peak which occurred on 7 October 2006 in the Dirty Devil River at 737 a drainage area of 10,800  $km^2$  (Figure 2; see Figure 1 for basin location). Although the 738 storm lies outside of the monsoon season, it produced severe thunderstorms with exten-739 sive lightning, large hail and tornadoes (NCEI Storm Events database). Thunderstorm 740 frequency during October, as shown in Figure 4, is quite low. The October 2006 flood 741 was the product of an extratropical system with a cutoff low west of the study region. 742 Synoptic scale forcing for the October 2006 storm resulted in organization of rainfall into 743 broken lines of convection with associated regions of stratiform rain. Lines of convection 744 generally moved eastward and embedded storm elements tracked from south to north, 745 resulting in heavy rainfall over the region for a period of more than 24 hours (Figure 25). 746

A similar storm on 27 September 2014 produced the second largest flood peak, 84 747  $m^3 s^{-1}$ , in the 50 year record of Kanab Creek. The largest peak in Kanab Creek, which 748 has a drainage area of 502  $km^2$ , was 86  $m^3 s^{-1}$  and occurred on 8 September 1961. Heavy 749 rainfall on 27 September 2014 was organized by a cutoff low centered in California; flood-750 ing and flash flooding extended from southern Arizona through Utah into the northern 751 Rocky Mountains of Wyoming, Idaho and Montana. Synoptic forcing on 27 September 752 2014 resulted in organization of rainfall into broken lines of convection (Figure 26). The 753 storms produced near-record daily lightning counts for the study region, with peak flash 754 densities exceeding 5 CG strikes  $km^{-2}$ . As the cutoff low moved slowly to the east, lines 755 of convection shifted eastward, with heaviest rainfall over the North Fork Virgin River 756 between 1530 and 1630 UTC and heaviest rainfall over Kanab Creek from 1630. - 1830 757 UTC (Figure 26). 758

Organization of rainfall into broken lines of convection resulted in multiple storm
elements producing rainfall in both Kanab Creek and the North Fork Virgin River (the
location of a flash flood fatality during the event: see NCEI Storm Events Database).
Persistence of heavy rainfall over multiple hours contributed to flooding in Kanab Creek.
The most intense storm elements that passed through Kanab Creek (Figure 26) did not,
however, track close to the outlet of Kanab Creek, resulting in a flood peak that was less
than 1/3 the magnitude of the September 2015 Maxwell Canyon peak.

The recent history of flooding in Kanab Creek has been relatively quiet by historical standards. Woolley notes that "on August 30, 1882, a terrific flood swept down Kanab Creek Canyon and literally swamped the town. This was followed by similar cloudburst floods each summer until 1886. In that period of 5 years the channel was changed almost beyond the comprehension of even those who saw it. Its depth increased by 50 feet or more and its width by about 200 feet in places" (Woolley (1946)). Kanab Creek provides one of the most striking examples of arroyo formation during the late 19th century.

Analyses of the arroyo problem have pointed to extended periods - multi-year to 773 multi-decadal - with elevated frequency of extreme floods throughout the Colorado Plateau 774 (Graf (1983), Webb and Hereford (2001), Antevs (1952), Hereford and Webb (1992), Balling 775 Jr. and Wells (1990), Higgins and Shi (2000) and Harvey and Pederson (2011)). Pale-776 oflood studies point to clustering of extreme floods over millennial time scales. The Col-777 orado Plateau has experienced multiple periods of elevated flood frequency during the 778 past 1000 years (Webb and Baker (1988), Webb et al. (1988), Ely et al. (1993) and Harden 779 et al. (2010)). 780

Paleohydrologic reconstructions in the Escalante River (Webb et al. (1988)) include 781 a 600  $m^3 s^{-1}$  peak close to the current stream gaging location from a "cloudburst" storm 782 on 27 August 1932 (Woolley (1946) and Webb et al. (1988)). Paleoflood peaks approach-783 ing 2000  $m^3 s^{-1}$  (Figure 2) have been reported for the lower Escalante River at drainage 784 areas between 3000 and 4000  $km^2$  (Webb et al. (1988) and Enzel et al. (1993)). These 785 are the largest flood peaks for basins with drainage area greater than 100  $km^2$ , but not 786 for smaller basins - the 14 September 1974 Eldorado Canyon hailstorm produced a peak 787 larger than 2000  $m^3 s^{-1}$  at 50  $km^2$  (Figure 2). 788

There is a large gap between the 130  $m^3 s^{-1}$  peak in the Escalante River on 24 Au-789 gust 1998 and the 600  $m^3 s^{-1}$  peak in August 1932 and an even larger distance to the 790 2,000  $m^3 s^{-1}$  paleoflood peaks in the lower Escalante. "Organization" of rainfall in space 791 and time, as illustrated by the December 1966, October 2006 and September 2014 storms 792 provides one avenue for bridging the gap. The paroxysmal precipitation of the desert, 793 as illustrated by the 14 September 2015 Hildale Storm and the 14 September 1974 El-794 dorado Canyon Storm provides another. The combination of extremes in convective in-795 tensity and synoptic forcing - an organized system of Eldorado Canyon-like storms - pro-796 vides scenarios for extremes in flooding over a wide range of basin scales. 797

798

799

# 6 Summary and Conclusions

The major findings of this paper are the following:

- The 14 September 2015 Hildale Storm in southern Utah, which resulted in 20 flash flood fatalities, provides the quintessential example of the paroxysmal precipitation of the desert. Polarimetric radar measurements suggest that two 10-20 minute periods of extreme rainfall rates during the 2 hour life cycle of the hailstorm resulted in catastrophic flash flooding. Both periods are characterized by  $K_{DP}$  signatures of extreme rainfall. Similar  $K_{DP}$  signatures characterized multiple storms that have produced record and near-record flood peaks in Colorado Plateau watersheds.
- The Hildale Storm developed a cyclonic structure with a line extending east of the 808 hail core and a cyclonically rotating line that initially extended south from the hail 809 core. The southward-oriented line developed the elevated  $K_{DP}$  signature that was 810 the precursor to extreme rainfall rates over Maxwell Canyon. Melting hail and liq-811 uid water shed from hail are likely sources of extreme rainfall rates over Maxwell 812 Canyon and Keyhole Canyon. Negative buoyancy associated with precipitation 813 drag and evaporation of rain and melting of hail may have contributed to down-814 draft enhancement of extreme rainfall rates. Hydrologic modeling analyses indi-815 cate that 15-minute rainfall rates in excess of 200  $mm h^{-1}$  are needed to produce 816 a flood peak of 266  $m^3 s^{-1}$  in Maxwell Canyon at a drainage area of 5.3  $km^2$ . 817
- For the sample of flash flood producing storms during the period from 1998 2016, 818 the 14 September 2015 hailstorm was among the most extreme in terms of con-819 vective intensity. The Hildale storm was also exceptional for its storm speed, which 820 exceeded 50 km  $h^{-1}$ , placing it in the extreme upper tail of storm speeds for flash 821 flood events in the region. Slow storm speed or small net storm motion are among 822 the most common attributes of extreme flash flood events (Doswell et al. (1996)). 823 Extreme storm speed for the Hildale storm sharpens the focus on extreme short-824 term rainfall rates as the key element of catastrophic flooding. 825
- Synoptic scale forcing was an important element of water vapor flux into Arizona and southern Utah, preceding initiation of the Hildale Storm. Precipitable water increased steadily from less than 10 mm to 30 mm in the 24 hours preceding storm initiation. A rear inflow jet created by the Hildale storm combined with the strong southwest to northeast water vapor flux over the region to create an environment with exceptionally strong water vapor flux to the storm.

- There is a pronounced seasonal cycle in NAM thunderstorm characteristics, in cluding an evolving climatology of storm motion. The NAM season is dominated
   by storms that move from southwest to northeast, but July includes a significant
   population of storms with motion deviating from the norm. The seasonal cycle
   is also characterized by an increasing frequency of baroclinic disturbances in Septem ber, when southwest to northeast storm motion is most prominent.
- "Sooner or later the cloudburst visits every tract", as Gilbert noted, but every tract 838 is unique in the paroxysmal nature of rainfall. The climatology of thunderstorms 839 in the southwestern US study region exhibits spatial heterogeneities that are tied 840 to terrain and large-scale features of storm environment. Superimposed on ter-841 rain controls of storm initiation are the seasonal and spatial variations in storm 842 size, motion and convective intensity. Analyses of record and near-record floods 843 in Kanab Creek, Virgin River, Paria River and Escalante River illustrate the con-844 trols of storm occurrence, motion and size on flood peak magnitudes. 845
- The distribution of flood peak magnitudes in Colorado Plateau watersheds is weakly 846 dependent on basin scale, relative to other regions of the US. Flood response is 847 closely linked to the spatial scale of thunderstorms, which have typical sizes rang-848 ing from 10 to 50  $km^2$ . Large floods peaks in many watersheds are produced by 849 "small", monsoon thunderstorms that pass close to the basin outlet. Flood peak 850 attenuation and channel infiltration losses also contribute to an environment in 851 which small storms play a major role in flood frequency for much larger water-852 sheds. 853
  - Organization of rainfall in time and space contributes to extreme flood peaks from Fall Winter storms. The December 1966, October 2006 and September 2014 flood episodes illustrate settings in which multiple storm elements and sub-watersheds contributed synchronously to produce extreme flooding. The boundary between monsoon thunderstorms and Fall Winter storms is not absolute. The September 2014 and October 2006 storms included copious lightning, hail and tornadoes; radar observations from December 1966 storm suggest that embedded convection contributed to extreme rainfall rates and record flooding.

Our analyses provide insights to the "nature" of extreme floods in arid/semi-arid 862 watersheds of the southwestern US, but also point to questions for future research. Are 863 extreme floods fundamentally different from more common floods? Is the upper tail of 864 flood peaks controlled by extremes of common flood agents or by unusual combinations of flood agents (organized systems of severe thunderstorms producing rainfall rates com-866 parable to the September 2015 Hildale storm, for example)? How do storm climatology 867 and drainage basin structure combine to determine scale-dependent flood response and 868 spatially varying flood hazards? Are there prominent "hotspots" of extreme floods in 869 the Colorado Plateau? Do the Eldorado Canyon (14 September 1974) and Hildale (14 870 September 2015) Storms provide examples of physical mechanisms controlling the most 871 extreme rainfall rates for monsoon thunderstorms? How hard can it rain? Conventional 872 flood records cover too little time to provide compelling evidence on the spatial hetero-873 geneities of flood peaks in the southwestern US. Paleoflood measurements provide a crit-874 ical avenue for effectively increasing the time window available for examining extreme 875 floods. Polarimetric radar measurements, especially  $K_{DP}$ , provide promising avenues for 876 assessing storm processes that control the paroxysmal precipitation of the desert. 877

# 878 Acknowledgments

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

This research was supported by the United States-Israel Bi-national Science Foundation (Grant BSF-2016953) and the National Science Foundation (Grant EAR-1632048). The authors gratefully acknowledge constructive suggestions from Vic Baker, John England and Jonathan Gourley. NLDN data were provided by the NASA Lightning Imaging Sensor (LIS) instrument team and the LIS data center via the Global Hydrology Resource

- Center (GHRC) located at the Global Hydrology and Climate Center (GHCC), Huntsville, 884
- Alabama, through a license agreement with Global Atmospherics (now Vaisala), Inc (GAI). 885

#### References 886

907

- Adams, D. K., & Comrie, A. C. (1997). The North American Monsoon. Bulletin of 887 the American Meteorological Society, 78(10), 2197 - 2213. 888
- Antevs, E. (1952). Arroyo-cutting and filling. Journal of Geology, 60, 375 385. 889
- Baker, V. R. (1975). Flood hazards along the Balcones Escarpment in central Texas: 890 Alternative approaches to their recognition, mapping and management (Tech. 891
- Rep. No. Bureau of Economic Geology University of Texas Circ. 75-5). Univer-892 sity of Texas. 893
- Baker, V. R. (2008). Paleoflood hydrology: Origin, progress, prospects. Geomorphol-894 ogy, 101, 1-13. 895
- Balling Jr., R. C., & Wells, S. G. (1990). Historical rainfall patterns and arroyo ac-896 tivity within the Zuni River drainage basin, New Mexico. Annals of the Asso-897 ciation of American Geographers, 80, 603 - 617. 898
- Berwick, V. K. (1962). Floods in Utah, Magnitude and Frequency (Circular No. 457). 899 U. S. Geological Survey. 900
- Bieda, S. W., Castro, C. L., Mullen, S. L., Comrie, A. C., & Pytlak, E. (2009). The 901 Relationship of Transient Upper-Level Troughs to Variability of the North 902 American Monsoon System. Journal of Climate, 22, 4213 - 4227. 903
- Butler, E., & Munforff, J. C. (1970). Floods of December 1966 in Southwestern Utah 904 (USGS Water-Supply Paper No. 1870-A). Washington D. C.: U.S. Geological 905 Survey. 906
- Corbosiero, K. L., Dickinson, M. J., & Bosart, L. F. (2009).The contribution of eastern North Pacific tropical cyclones to the rainfall climatology of the south-908 western United States. Monthly Weather Review, 137, 2415 - 2435. 909
- Costa, J. E. (1987). Hydraulics and basin morphometry of the largest flash floods in 910 the conterminous United States. Journal of Hydrology, 93(3-4), 313-338. 911
- Costa, J. E., & Jarrett, R. D. (2008). An evaluation of selected extraordinary floods 912 in the united states reported by the u. s. geological survey and implications 913 for future advancement of flood science (Vol. Scientific Investiations Report 914 2008-5164). U.S. Geological Survey. 915
- Cotton, W. R., Bryan, G. H., & van den Heever, S. C. (2010). Storm and cloud dy-916 namics (2nd edition. ed.) (No. 775 pg.). Academic Press. 917
- Crippen, J. R., & Bue, C. D. (1977).Maximum floodflows in the conterminous 918 United States (U.S. Geological Water Supply Paper No. 1887). USGS. 919
- Cummins, K. L., & Murphy, M. J. (2009). An overview of lightning locating sys-920 tems: History, techniques, and data uses, with an in-depth look at the U.S. 921 NLDN. IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, 51(3), 499–518. 922
- Cummins, K. L., Murphy, M. J., Bardo, E. A., Hiscox, W. L., Pyle, R. B., & Pifer, 923 A. E. (1998). A combined TOA/MDF technology upgrade of the US National 924 Lightning Detection Network. Journal of Geophysical Research - Atmospheres, 925 103(D8), 9035–9044. 926
- Cunha, L. K., Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., & Krajewski, W. F. (2013). An early per-927 formance evaluation of the NEXRAD dual polarization radar rainfall estimates 928 for urban flood applications. Weather and Forecasting, 28, 1478 - 1497. 929
- Dixon, M., & Wiener, G. (1993).TITAN - Thunderstorm Identification, Track-930 ing, Analysis, and Nowcasting - A radar-based methodology. Journal of Atmo-931 spheric and Oceanic Technology, 10(6), 785–797. 932
- Doswell, C. A., Brooks, H. E., & Maddox, R. A. (1996). Flash flood forecasting: An 933 ingredients-based methodology. Weather and Forecasting, 11(4), 560-581. 934
- Ely, L. L. (1997). Response of large floods in the southwestern United States to cli-935 matic variations in the late Holocene. Geomorphology, 18, 175 - 201. 936

- Ely, L. L., Enzel, Y., Baker, V. R., & Cayan, D. R. (1993). A 5000-year record of 937 extreme floods and climate change in the southwestern United States. Science, 938 262, 410 - 412. 939
- Ely, L. L., Enzel, Y., & Cayan, D. R. (1994). Anomalous North Pacific atmospheric 940 circulation and large winter floods in the southwestern United States. Journal 941 of Climate, 7(6), 977 - 987. 942
  - Enzel, Y., Ely, L. L., House, K., & Baker, V. R. (1993). Paleoflood evidence for a natural upper bound to flood magnitudes in the Colorado River basin. Water Resources Research, 29(7), 2287 - 2297.

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

964

965

966

- Etheredge, D., Gutzler, D. S., & Pazzaglia, F. J. (2004). Geomorphic response to seasonal variations in rainfall in the southwest United States. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 116(5/6), 606 - 618.
- Gilbert, G. K. (1877). Geology of the Henry Mountains (Tech. Rep.). Washington D. C.: U. S. Geographical and Geological Survey of the Rocky Mountain Region.
- Gilbert, G. K. (1890). Lake Bonneville (Tech. Rep.). Washington D. C.: U.S. Geo-951 logical Survey. 952
- Glancy, P. A., & Harmsen, L. (1975). A Hydrologic Assessment of the September 953 14, 1974, Flood in Eldorado Canyon, Nevada. U. S. Geological Survey Profes-954 sional Paper 930, 28 pp. 955
- Goodrich, D. C., Burns, I. S., Unkrich, C. L., Semmens, D. J., Guertin, D. P., Her-956 nandez, M., ... Levick, L. R. (2012). KINEROS2/AGWA: model use, calibra-957 tion and validation. Transactions of the ASABE, 55, 1561 - 1574. 958
- Goodrich, D. C., Kepner, W. G., Levick, L. R., & Wigington Jr., P. J. (2018).959 Southwestern intermittent and stream connectivity. Journal of the American 960 Water Resources Association, 54, 400 - 422. 961
- Goodrich, D. C., Lane, L. J., Shillito, R. M., Miller, S. N., Syed, K. H., & Woolhiser, D. A. (1997). Linearity of basin response as a function of scale in a semiarid 963 watershed. Water Resources Research, 33, 2951 - 2965.
  - Goodrich, D. C., et al. (2011). AGWA: The automated geospatial watershed assessment tool to inform rangeland management. Rangelands, 33(4), 41-47.
- Goodrich, D. C., Williams, D. G., Unkrich, C. L., Hogan, J. F., Scott, R. L., Hul-967 tine, K. R., ... Miller, S. (2004).Comparison of methods to estimate 968 ephemeral channel recharge, Walnut Gulch, San Pedro River Basin, Arizona. 969 In J. F. Hogan, F. M. Phillips, & B. R. Scanlon (Eds.), Groundwater Recharge 970 in a Desert Environment: The Southwestern United States (Vol. 9, p. 77 - 99). 971
- The arroyo problem paleohydrology and paleohydraulics in Graf, W. L. (1983).972 the short term. In K. G. Gregory (Ed.), Backgrounds to paleohydrology (p. 279 973 - 302). New York: John Wiley & Sons. 974
- A severe southwestern desert thunderstorm: 19 August 1973. Hales, J. E. (1975).975 Monthly Weather Review, 103, 344 - 351. 976
- Harden, T. M., Backlin, M. G., & Baker, V. R. (2010). Holocene flood histories in 977 southwestern USA. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 35, 707 - 716. 978
- Harden, T. M., O'Connor, J. E., Driscoll, D. G., & Stamm, J. F. (2011).Flood-979 Frequency Analyses from Paleoflood Investigations for Spring, Rapid, Boxelder, 980 and Elk Creeks, Black Hills, Western South Dakota (Scientific Investigations 981 Report No. 2011 - 5131). U.S. Geological Survey. 982
- Harvey, J. E., & Pederson, J. L. (2011). Reconciling arroyo cycle and paleoflood ap-983 proaches to late holocene alluvial records in dryland streams. Quaternary Sci-984 ence Reviews, 30, 855 - 866. 985
- Hereford, R. (1986).Modern alluvial history of the Paria River drainage basin, 986 southern Utah. Quaternary Research, 25, 293 - 311. 987
- Hereford, R., & Webb, R. H. (1992).Historic variation of warm-season rainfall, 988 southern Colorado Plateau, southwestern USA. Climatic Change, 22(3), 239 -989 256.990
- Higgins, R. W., & Shi, W. (2000).Dominant Factors Responsible for Interannual 991

992	Variability of the Summer Monsoon in the Southwestern United States. Jour-
993	$\begin{array}{c} nal \ of \ Climale, 13, 139 - 115. \\ \text{Higging D W Shi W Is Hain } C = (2004) \\ \text{Deletionshing between Culf of Cali} \end{array}$
994	fornia Moisture Surges and Precipitation in the Southwestern United States
995	Journal of Climate 17 2983 - 2997
990	Higgins B W Vao V & Wang X I (1007) Influence of the North American
997	monsoon system on the U.S. summer precipitation regime. <i>Journal of Climate</i>
998	$10^{-2600-2622}$
1000	Hitchens N M & Brooks H E $(2013)$ Preliminary investigation of the contri-
1000	bution of supercell thunderstorms to the climatology of heavy and extreme
1001	precipitation in the US. Atmospheric Research, 123(206 - 210).
1003	Hialimarson, H. W., & Thomas, B. E. (1992). New Look at Regional Flood-
1003	Frequency Relations for Arid Lands. Journal of Hudarulic Engineering.
1005	116(868 - 886).
1006	House, P. K., & Baker, V. R. (2001). Paleohydrology of flash floods in small desert
1007	watersheds in western Arizona. Water Resources Research, 37, 1825 - 1839.
1008	House, P. K., & Hirschboeck, K. K. (1993). Hydroclimatological and paleohy-
1009	drological context of extreme winter flooding in Arizona. In R. A. Larson &
1010	J. E. Slosson (Eds.), Storm-induced Geologic Hazards (Vol. X, p. 1 - 24).
1011	House, P. K., & Pearthree, P. A. (1995). A geomorphologic and hydrologic evalua-
1012	tion of an extraordinary flood discharge estimate: Bronco Creek, Arizona. Wa-
1013	ter Resources Research, $31(12)$ , $3059-3073$ .
1014	Hu, H., & Dominguez, F. (2015). Evaluation of Oceanic and Terrestrial Sources
1015	of Moisture for the North American Monsoon Using Numerical Models and
1016	Precipitation Stable Isotopes. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 16, 19 - 35.
1017	Hubbert, J., Bringhi, V. N., Carey, L. D., & Bolen, S. (1998). CSU-CHILL Po-
1018	larimetric radar measurements from a severe hail storm in Eastern Colorado.
1019	Journal of Applied Meteorology, 37, 749–775.
1020	Jarrett, R. D., & Costa, J. E. (1988). Evaluation of the flood hydrology in the Col-
1021	orado Front Range using precipitation, streamflow and paleoflood data (USGS
1022	Water Resources Investigation Report No. 87-4177). Washington D. C.: U.S.
1023	Geological Survey.
1024	Javier, J. R. N., Sintin, J. A., England, J., Daeck, M. L., Steiner, M., & Interests, A = (2007) Climatology of extreme rainfall and flooding from or graphic
1025	thunderstorm systems in the upper Arkansas River hasin Water Resources
1020	$Research_{\lambda}$ ( $\chi_3$ (W10410). (doi:10.1029/2006WR005093)
1028	Koenig, T. A., Bruce, J. L., O'Connor, J. E., McGee, B. D., Holmes, R. B., Hollins,
1020	R Peppler, M. C. (2016). Identifying and Preserving High-Water Mark
1030	Data (Surface-Water Techniques No. Chapter 24). U.S. Geological Survey.
1031	Kumjian, M. R. (2013). Principles and applications of dual-polarization radar. Jour-
1032	nal of Operational Meteorology, 1(19 - 21), 226 - 274.
1033	Kumjian, M. R., Lebo, Z. J., & Morrison, H. C. (2015). On the mechanisms of rain
1034	formation in an idealized supercell storm. Monthly Weather Review, 143, 2754
1035	- 2773.
1036	Kumjian, M. R., & Ryzhkov, A. V. (2008). Polarimetric Signatures in Supercell
1037	Thunderstorms. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 47, 1940 -
1038	1961.
1039	Lang, T. J., & Rutledge, S. A. (2002). Relationships between convective storm
1040	kinematics, precipitation, and lightning. Monthly Weather Review, 130, 2492–
1041	2506.
1042	Leopold, L. B. (1942). Areal extent of intense rainfalls, New Mexico and Arizona.
1043	Transactions of the American Geophysical Union, 23, 558 - 563.
1044	Leopold, L. B. (1946). Two intense local floods in New Mexico. Transactions of the
1045	American Geophysical Union, 27(4), 535 - 539.

- Leopold, L. B. (1976). Reversal of erosion cycle and climate change. Quaternary Re-1046 search, 6, 557 - 562. 1047 Luong, T. M., Castro, C. L., Chang, H. I., Lahmers, T., Adams, D. K., & Ochoa-1048 Moya, C. A. (2017). The More Extreme Nature of North American Monsoon 1049 Precipitation in the Southwestern United States as Revealed by a Historical 1050 Climatology of Simulated Severe Weather Events. Journal of Applied Meteorol-1051 ogy and Climatology, 56, 2509 - 2529. 1052 Maddox, R. A., Canova, F., & Hoxit, L. R. (1980).Meteorological characteristics 1053 of flash floods over the western United States. Monthly Weather Review, 108, 1054 1866 - 1877. 1055 (1995).Maddox, R. A., McCollum, D. M., & Howard, K. W. Large-scale pat-1056 terns associated with severe summertime thunderstorms over central Arizona. 1057 Weather and Forecasting, 10, 763 - 778. 1058 Maddox, R. A., Zhang, J., Gourley, J. J., & Howard, K. W. (2003). Weather radar 1059 coverage over the contiguous united states. Weather and Forecasting, 17, 927-1060 934. 1061 Martinez-Goytre, J., House, P. K., & Baker, V. R. (1994).Spatial variability of 1062 of paleo-flood magnitudes in small basins of the Santa Catalina Mountains, 1063 southeastern Arizona. Water Resources Research, 30, 1491 - 1501. 1064 Mazon, J. J., Castro, C. L., Adams, D. K., Chang, H., Carillo, C. M., & Brost, J. J. 1065 (2016). Objective climatological analysis of extreme weather events during the 1066 North American monsoon. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 1067 55, 2431 - 2450. 1068 Miller, S. N., Semmens, D. J., Goodrich, D. C., Hernandez, M., Miller, R. C., Kep-1069 1070 ner, W. G., & Guertin, D. P. (2007). The authomated geospatial watershed assessment tool. Environmental Modelling & Software, 22, 365 - 377. 1071 Morin, E., Goodrich, D. C., Maddox, R. A., Gao, X. G., Gupta, H. V., & 1072 Sorooshian, S. (2006).Spatial patterns in thunderstorm rainfall events and 1073 their coupling with watershed hydrological response. Advances in Water Re-1074 sources, 29(6), 843-860. doi: {10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.07.014} 1075 Morin, E., Maddox, R. A., Goodrich, D. C., & Sorooshian, S. (2005). Radar Z-R Re-1076 lationship for Summer Monsoon Storms in Arizona. Weather and Forecasting, 1077 20(4), 672 - 679.1078 Nielsen, E. R., Herman, G. R., Tournay, R. C., Peters, J. M., & Schumacher, R. S. 1079 (2015).Double impact: when both tornadoes and flash floods threaten the 1080 same place and time. Weather and Forecasting, 30, 1673 - 1693. 1081 Nielsen, E. R., & Schumacher, R. S. (2018). Dynamical Insights into Extreme Short-1082 Term Precipitation Associated with Supercells and Mesovortices. Journal of 1083 the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(DOI: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0385.1), 2983 - 3009. 1084 Orville, R. E. (2008). Development of the National Lightning Detection Network. 1085 Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 89(2), 180–190. 1086 Osterkamp, W. R., & Friedman, J. M. (2000). The disparity between extreme rain-1087 fall events and rare flood - with emphasis on the semi-arid American West. 1088 Hydrological Processes, 14, 2817 - 2829. 1089 Pascale, S., Boos, W. R., Bordoni, S., Delworth, T. L., Kapnick, S. B., Murakami, 1090 H., ... Zhang, W. (2017). Weakening of the North American Monsoon with 1091 global warming. Nature Climate Change, 7, 806 - 813. 1092 Petersen, W. A., & Rutledge, S. A. (1998). On the relationship between cloud-to-1093 ground lightning and convective rainfall. Journal of Geophysical Research, 103, 1094 14025-14040. 1095 Powell, J. W. Exploration of the colorado river and its canyons (No. 458) (1895).1096 pg.). New York: Dover Publications. 1097 Reap, R. M., & MacGorman, D. R. (1989). Cloud-to-ground lightning: Climatolog-1098 ical characteristics and relationships to model fields, radar observations, and 1099
- severe local storms. *Monthly Weather Review*, 117, 518–535.

Rogash, J. A., & Racy, F. (2002). Some Meteorological Characteristics of Significant 1101 Tornado Events Occurring in Proximity to Flash Flooding. Weather and Fore-1102 casting, 17, 155 - 159. 1103 Romine, R. S., Burgess, D. W., & Wilhelmson, R. B. (2008). A Dual-Polarization-1104 Radar-Based Assessment of the 8 May 2003 Oklahoma City Area Tornadic 1105 Supercell. Monthly Weather Review, 136, 2849 - 2870. 1106 Ryberg, K. R., Goree, B. B., Williams-Sether, T., & Mason Jr., R. R. (2017). The1107 U.S. Geological Survey Peak-Flow File Data Verification Project (Scientific 1108 Investigations Report No. 2017-5119). U. S. Geological Survey. 1109 Ryzhkov, A. V., Kumjian, M. R., Ganson, S. M., & Zhang, P. (2013). Polarimetric 1110 Radar Characteristics of Melting Hail. Part II: Practical Implications. Journal 1111 of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 52, 2871 - 2886. 1112 Schaffner, M., Unkrich, C., Goodrich, D., Lericos, T., Czyzyk, S., & Pierce, B. 1113 (2016). Modeling hydrologic events in a semi-arid basin of complex terrain us-1114 ing a real time distributed model: Short creek at colorado city, arizona (West-1115 ern Region Technical Attachment No. 16-03). National Weather Serice. 1116 Schumacher, R. S. (2009). Mechanisms for Quasi-Stationary Behavior in Simulated 1117 Heavy-Rain-Producing Convective Systems. Journal of the Atmospheric Sci-1118 ences, 66, 1543 - 1568. 1119 Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Meierdiercks, K. L., Nelson, P. A., Miller, A. J., & Hol-1120 land, E. J. (2005). Field studies of the storm event hydrologic response in an 1121 urbanizing watershed. Water Resources Research, 41(10), W10413(15). 1122 Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Morrison, J. E., Sturdevant-Rees, P., Turner-Gillespie, 1123 D. F., & Bates, P. D. (2002). The regional hydrology of extreme floods in an 1124 1125 urbanizing drainage basin. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 3(3), 267–282. Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Zhang, Y., & Doswell III, C. A. (2001). Extreme rain-1126 fall and flooding from supercell thunderstorms. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 1127 2, 469-489.1128 Smith, J. A., Cox, A. A., Back, M. L., Yang, L., & Bates, P. (2018). Strange Floods: 1129 The Upper Tail of Flood Peaks in the US. Water Resources Research, 54, 6510 1130 - 6542. 1131 Tapia, A., Smith, J. A., & Dixon, M. (1998). Estimation of convective rainfall from 1132 lightning observations. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 376, 1497–1509. 1133 Thomas, B. E., Hjalimarson, H. W., & Waltemeyer, S. D. (1994).Methods for 1134 Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in the Southwestern US (Open 1135 File Report No. 93-419). U. S. Geological Survey. 1136 Thomas, B. E., & Lindskov, K. L. (1983). Methods for Estimating Peak Discharge 1137 and Flood Boundaries of Streams in Utah (Water Resources Investigation No. 1138 4129). U. S. Geological Survey. 1139 Villarini, G., & Smith, J. A. (2013). Spatial and temporal variability of cloud-to-1140 ground lightning over the continental U.S. during the period 1995–2010. Atmo-1141 spheric Research, 124, 137 - 148. 1142 Vivoni, E. R., Bowman, R. S., Wyckoff, R. L., Jakubowski, R. T., & Richards, K. E. 1143 (2006).Analysis of a monsoon flood event in an ephemeral tributary and 1144 its downstream hydrologic effects. Water Resources Research, 42(W03404). 1145 (doi:10.1029/2005WR004036) 1146 Watson, A. I., Holle, R. L., & Lopez, R. E. (1994).Cloud-to-ground lightning 1147 and upper-air patterns during bursts and breaks in the southwest monsoon. 1148 Monthly Weather Review, 122, 1726 - 1739. 1149 Watson, A. I., Holle, R. L., & López, R. E. (1994).Cloud-to-ground lightning 1150 and upper-air patterns during bursts and breaks in the Southwest Monsoon. 1151 Monthly Weather Review, 122, 1726–1739. 1152 (1988). Changes in hydrologic conditions related to Webb, R. H., & Baker, V. R. 1153 large floods on the Escalante River, south-central Utah. In V. Singh (Ed.), Re-1154 gional Flood Frequency Analysis (p. 306 - 320). Dordrecht. 1155

- Webb, R. H., & Hereford, R. (2001). Floods and geomorphic change in the south western US: an historical perspective. In Seventh Federal Interagency Sedimen tation Conference (p. IV 30 IV 37).
- Webb, R. H., O'Connor, J. E., & Baker, V. R. (1988). Paleohydrologic recon struction of flood frequency on the Escalante River, south-central Utah. In
   V. R. Baker (Ed.), *Flood Geomorphology* (p. 403 418). Wiley-Interscience.
- Weijenborg, C. J., Chagnon, J., Friedrichs, P., Gray, S., & Hense, A. (2017). Co herent evolution of potential vorticity anomalies associated with deep moist
   convection. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 143(704),
   1254 1267.
- Wood, K. M., & Ritchie, E. A. (2013). An updated climatology of tropical cyclone
   impacts on the southwestern United States. Monthly Weather Review, 141,
   4322 4336.

1169

1170

1171

- Wood, V. T., Brown, R. A., & Vasiloff, S. V. (2003). Improved detection using negative elevation angles for mountaintop WSR-88Ds. Weather and Forecasting, 18, 393 - 403.
- Woolley, R. R. (1946). Cloudburst Floods in Utah 1850 1938 (Water Supply Paper No. 994). US Government Printing Office, Washinton DC: U.S. Geological Survey.
- Yang, L., Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Morin, E., & Goodrich, D. (2017). Flash
  Flooding in Arid/Semi-arid Regions: Dissecting the 19 August 2014 Flood over
  Arizona, Southwestern United States. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 18, 3110 3124.



**Figure 7.** Box plots of maximum reflectivity (top), storm speed (middle) and storm area (bottom) for flash-flood producing storms, 1998 - 2015 (tracked storm elements with 45 dBZ tops greater than 8.5 km). Whiskers are for 0.1 and 0.9 quantiles.



**Figure 8.** Mean storm motion vectors for flash-flood producing storms, 1998 - 2015 (tracked storm elements with 45 dBZ tops greater than 8 km); western region with Fort Pearce Wash (and Short Creek), East Fork Virgin (EF) and North Fork Virgin (NF) river basin boundaries.



**Figure 9.** Mean storm motion vectors for flash-flood producing storms, 1998 - 2015 (tracked storm elements with 45 dBZ tops greater than 8 km); eastern region, with basin boundaries for Kanab Creek, the Escalante and the Paria River. Lake Powell (shown in blue) inundates Glen Canyon.



Figure 10. Short Creek, Maxwell Canyon and Virgin River study region. Basin boundaries of Maxwell Canyon and Short Creek are shown, along with a partial basin boundary for the East Fork Virgin River (location of the USGS stream gaging station is marked by black circle). Keyhole Canyon is also marked by a black circle.



Figure 11. Tracks for the two storms that passed through Short Creek on 14 September 2015; the Hildale Storm is the second to pass through Short Creek. The first storm is denoted "Storm 1". Points are color coded by maximum reflectivity (dBZ). The Short Creek and E. Fork Virgin River basin boundaries are outlined (see also Figure 10). Keyhole Canyon is marked by a white star and the KICX radar location is denoted by a black square.



Figure 12. Reflectivity (left), Doppler velocity (middle) and specific differential phase shift  $(K_{DP}; \text{ right})$  at 2214, 2218, 2222 and 2227 UTC (from top to bottom) on 14 September 2015 from the Cedar City WSR-88D. Blue arrow in top Doppler velocity image shows direction of the Cedar City radar.



Figure 13. Time series of maximum reflectivity (dBZ; top), storm area  $(km^2; \text{ middle})$  and storm speed  $(km \ h^{-1}; \text{ bottom})$  for the 14 September 2015 Hildale Storm, based on TITAN storm tracking analyses (see also Figure 11).



Figure 14. CG lightning flash density contours (CG strikes  $km^{-2}$ ) for the 14 September 2015 storm. The Maxwell Canyon, Short Creek and E. Fork Virgin River basin boundaries are outlined. Keyhole Canyon is marked by a black star. The KICX radar location is denoted by a black square.



Figure 15. Wind profiles from KICX Velocity Azimuth Display (VAD) analyses from 2000 - 2300 UTC (top). Elevation is given in height above the radar; elevation of the radar is 3200 meters MSL. The vertical blue line for wind direction is 225 degrees (wind direction from southwest). Wind rose of storm direction and storm speed for all tracked storms on 14 September 2015 with tops greater than 8.5 km (bottom).



Figure 16. Reflectivity (left), Doppler velocity (middle) and specific differential phase shift  $(K_{DP}; \text{ right})$  at 2236, 2241, 2246 and 2251 UTC (top to bottom) on 14 September 2015 from the Cedar City WSR-88D.



Figure 17. Rainfall rate field at 2245 UTC on 14 September 2015 with basin boundary for East Fork Virgin River and storm tracks of the Hildale storm from 2232 UTC to 2306 UTC. Outlet of the basin is denoted by a red star.



Figure 18. Discharge hydrographs (top) for Fort Pearce Wash on 15 August 2003 (red), 16-17 July 2012 (blue) and 14-15 September 2015 (black). Rainfall rate field at 2245 UTC on 16 July 2012 (bottom), with the Fort Pearce Wash basin boundary outlined in black; the outlet is denoted by a red star (in the northwest corner of the watershed). The Short Creek boundary is outlined in green.



Figure 19. Reflectivity (left) and KDP (right) fields for 2242 UTC (top), 2247 UTC (middle) and 2251 UTC (bottom) on 18 July 2012.



Figure 20. Storm total lightning flash density for the 24 August 1998 storm that produced record flood peak in the upper Escalante River. Storm tracks from 1847 to 1957 UTC illustrate west to east storm motion. The USGS stream gage location is denoted by a red star; the dashed blue line marks the boundary of the 823  $km^2$  watershed.



Figure 21. Storm total lightning flash density contours (CG strikes  $km^{-2}$ ) with storm tracks for the 19 August 2012 Paria storm (upper left). Reflectivity field (dBZ) at 2046 UTC (upper right); closeup of  $K_{DP}$  field (degrees  $km^{-1}$ ) at 2046 UTC with storm tracks (bottom). The red arrow in the bottom figure shows the location of the first tracked storm element. Stream gaging locations (red stars) and basin boundaries for the upper and lower Paria River are shown in the upper plots.

manuscript submitted to Water Resources Research



Figure 22. Boxplots of annual peak discharge for the upper Paria (left; drainage area of 1680  $km^2$ ) and lower Paria (right; drainage area of 3680  $km^2$ ) during the period of overlapping record.



Figure 23.  $K_{DP}$  contours (degrees  $km^{-1}$ ) at 0200 UTC on 12 July 2018 with storm tracks from 0130 UTC (top) to 0248 UTC (bottom).



**Figure 24.** Discharge hydrographs for North Fork Virgin River (black) and Virgin River (blue) from 6 - 7 December 1966 (top); discharge hydrograph for North Fork Virgin River from 10 - 11 July 2018 (bottom).



Figure 25. Reflectivity fields (dBZ) from 0000 UTC on 6 October 2006 to 0000 UTC on 7 October 2006 from the Cedar City WSR-88D. Basin boundaries are Upper Freemont (1), Dirty Devil (2), Escalante (3) and Lower Paria (4).



Figure 26. Reflectivity fields (dBZ) at 1544 UTC (top) and 1752 UTC (bottom) on 27 September 2014. Basin boundaries for the North Fork Virgin River (black) and Kanab Creek (blue) are shown.